



**Commentary**



## Comments on “What if Cocrystallization Fails for Neutral Molecules? Screening Offered Eutectics as Alternate Pharmaceutical Materials: Leflunomide-A Case Study”

William E. Acree Jr.<sup>1\*</sup>, Abolghasem Jouyban<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Pharmaceutical Analysis Research Center and Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>Kimia Idea Pardaz Azarbayjan (KIPA) Science Based Company, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

In a recent paper published in *Pharmaceutical Sciences* Bala and coworkers<sup>1</sup> characterized eutectic mixtures prepared from leflunomide and two monocarboxylic acids (adipic acid, picolinic acid), three dicarboxylic acids (maleic acid, malonic acid, sorbic acid), and two pyridine carboxamides (nicotinamide, isonicotinamide). The solid materials were subjected to infrared spectroscopic studies, powder X-ray diffraction analyses, and differential scanning calorimetric measurements to establish whether the materials were salts, cocrystals or eutectics. As part of the thermal studies the authors reported in Table 1 of their paper the endothermic melting point temperatures of the individual pure components and the respective eutectic mixtures. Table 2 of the paper<sup>1</sup> gives the experimental enthalpy of fusion data.

The purpose of this brief commentary is to provide journal readers with a comparison of the authors' experimental enthalpy of fusion data for leflunomide, adipic acid, picolinic acid, maleic acid, malonic acid, sorbic acid, nicotinamide, and isonicotinamide with published values taken from the pharmaceutical and chemical literature. Multiple literature values exist for the several of the individual mixture components. Such comparisons provide valuable information and can be used to assess the “quality” of the measured values. Large difference

between values often, though not always, suggest that experimental errors may have been made in the course of performing the measurements. In the case of enthalpy of fusion data, large differences can also suggest possible polymorphism or the formation of solid solvates.

In Table 1 of this commentary, the measured enthalpies of fusion, along with published literature values are tabulated. The majority of the literature values were taken from a two-part compilation of phase transition enthalpies by Acree and Chickos.<sup>2,3</sup> Included in Table 1 are the original references for the literature values. Examination of the numerical entries in Table 1 reveals that the enthalpy of fusion data reported by Bala and coworkers<sup>1</sup> differs significantly from many of the literature values. In fact, the data reported by Bala and coworkers are often significantly less than published literature values. For both adipic acid and nicotinamide the authors' values are approximately one-half of the published literature data. Differences in the melting point temperatures may result from slight impurities in the samples and from how different authors determine the experimental values. Some researchers report the melting point temperature as the onset of melting, whereas other researchers report the value of the peak temperature in the thermogram.

**Table 1.** Comparison of Measured Melting Point Temperatures and Enthalpies of Fusion for Leflunomide, Adipic Acid, Picolinic Acid, Maleic Acid, Malonic Acid, Sorbic Acid, Nicotinamide, and Isonicotinamide.

| Compound    | Melting temperature (K) | Enthalpy of fusion (kJ/mole) | Reference |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Leflunomide | 442.5                   | 2.69                         | 1         |
|             | 440.1                   | 31.3                         | 4         |
|             | 444.4                   | 30.9                         | 5         |
|             | 438.2                   | 32.43                        | 6         |
| Adipic acid | 428.7                   | 14.17                        | 1         |
|             |                         | 32.0                         | 7         |
|             | 423                     | 35.89                        | 8         |
|             | 426.3                   | 35.20                        | 9,10      |
|             | 419                     | 33.7                         | 11        |
|             | 420.9                   | 34.34                        | 12        |
|             | 424.5                   | 37.32                        | 13        |
|             | 426.4                   | 34.85                        | 14,15     |
|             | 425.3                   | 39.03                        | 16        |
|             | 425.2                   | 35.15                        | 17        |
|             | 423.9                   | 34.0                         | 18        |

\*Corresponding Author: William E. Acree Jr, E-mail: acree@unt.edu

©2019 The Authors. This is an open access article and applies the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.

**Table 1 Continued.**

|                 |       |                 |       |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| Picolinic acid  | 411.4 | 15.36           | 1     |
|                 | 411   | 30.0            | 19,20 |
|                 | 408.9 | 23.1            | 21    |
| Maleic acid     | 416.8 | 11.96           | 1     |
|                 | 411.9 | 26.9            | 22    |
| Malonic acid    | 412.1 | 15.19           | 1     |
|                 | 406   | 18.74           | 8     |
|                 | 407.5 | 23.1            | 23    |
| Sorbic acid     | 409.8 | 11.98           | 1     |
|                 | 405.3 | 18.76           | 24    |
| Nicotinamide    | 403.8 | 12.50           | 1     |
|                 | 401.2 | 23.7            | 25    |
|                 | 401.7 | 22.58           | 26    |
|                 | 401.6 | 20.5            | 27    |
|                 | 401.2 | 25.4            | 28    |
|                 | 401.4 | 23.2            | 29    |
|                 | 397.8 | 26.5 (Form I)   | 30    |
|                 | 379.0 | 20.1 (Form II)  | 30    |
|                 | 403.8 | 23.8            | 31    |
|                 | 401.6 | 25.5            | 32    |
|                 | 402   | 26.94           | 33    |
|                 | 401.6 | 23.97           | 34    |
|                 | 403.2 | 23.90           | 35    |
|                 | 401.6 | 24.50           | 36    |
|                 | 401.3 | 25.2 (Form I)   | 37    |
|                 | 387.7 | 29.7 (Form II)  | 37    |
|                 | 382.1 | 19.3 (Form III) | 37    |
| Isonicotinamide | 406.0 | 17.50           | 1     |
|                 | 429.8 | 23.6            | 38    |
|                 | 428.6 | 24.5            | 29    |
|                 | 431   | 26.81           | 33    |
|                 | 428.4 | 23.1            | 35    |
|                 | 428.5 | 22.60 Form (II) | 39    |

**Conflict of interests**

The authors claim that there is no conflict of interest.

**References**

- Bala M, Gautam MK, Chadha R. What if cocrystallization fails for neutral molecules? Screening offered eutectics as alternate pharmaceutical materials: Leflunomide-A case study. *Pharm Sci.* 2019;25(3):235-43. doi:10.1571/PS.2019.46
- Acree W, Chickos JS. Phase transition enthalpy measurements of organic and organometallic compounds. sublimation, vaporization and fusion enthalpies from 1880 to 2015. Part 1. C1 - C10. *J Phys Chem Ref Data.* 2016;45(3):033101. doi:10.1063/1.4948363
- Acree W, Chickos JS. Phase transition enthalpy measurements of organic and organometallic compounds and ionic liquids. sublimation, vaporization, and fusion enthalpies from 1880 to 2015. Part 2. C11-C192. *J Phys Chem Ref Data.* 2017;46(1):013104. doi:10.1063/1.4970519
- Sharma P, Gangopadhyay D, Umrao S, Kumar S, Ghosh AK, Mishra PC, et al. A novel Raman spectroscopic approach to identify polymorphism in leflunomide: a combined experimental and theoretical study. *J Raman Spectrosc.* 2016;47(4):468-75. doi:10.1002/jrs.4834
- Bankar PV, Mahatma OP. Improved dissolution rate of leflunomide using hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin inclusion complexation by freeze-drying method. *Int J Drug Deliv.* 2012;4(4):498-506.
- Vega D, Petragalli A, Fernandez D, Ellena JA. Polymorphism on leflunomide: stability and crystal structures. *J Pharm Sci.* 2006;95(5):1075-83. doi:10.1002/jps.20382
- Hasl T, Jiricek I. The prediction of heat storage properties by the study of structural effect on organic phase change materials. *Energy Procedia.* 2014;46:301-9. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.01.186
- Booth AM, Barley MH, Topping DO, McFiggans G, Garforth A, Percival CJ. Solid state and sub-cooled liquid vapour pressures of substituted dicarboxylic acids using Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry (KEMS) and differential scanning calorimetry. *Atmos Chem Phys.* 2010;10(10):4879-92. doi:10.5194/acp-10-4879-2010
- Wang TC, Lai TY, Chen YP. Solid-liquid equilibria for hexanedioic acid + benzoic acid, benzoic acid + pentanedioic acid, and hexanedioic acid + pentanedioic acid. *J Chem Eng Data.* 2010;55(12):5797-800. doi:10.1021/je100749m

10. Wang TC, Li YJ, Chen YP. Solid–liquid equilibria for six binary mixtures involving heptanedioic acid, pentanedioic acid, hexanedioic acid, 2,3-dimethylbutanedioic acid, 2,2-dimethylbutanedioic acid, and 3-methylheptanedioic acid. *J Chem Eng Data*. 2012;57(12):3519–24. doi:10.1021/je200800f
11. Roux MV, Temprado M, Chickos JS. Vaporization, fusion and sublimation enthalpies of the dicarboxylic acids from C4 to C14 and C16. *J Chem Thermodyn*. 2005;37(9):941–53. doi:10.1016/j.jct.2004.12.011
12. Chow KY, Go J, Mehdizadeh M, Grant DJ. Modification of adipic acid crystals: influence of growth in the presence of fatty acid additives on crystal properties. *Int J Pharm*. 1984;20(1-2):3-24. doi:10.1016/0378-5173(84)90214-X
13. Van Dooren AA, Mueller BW. Effects of heating rate and particle size on temperatures and specific enthalpies in quantitative differential scanning calorimetry. *Thermochim Acta*. 1982;54(1-2):115–29. doi:10.1016/0040-6031(82)85070-3
14. Cingolani A, Berchiesi G. Thermodynamic properties of organic compounds. *J. Thermal Anal*. 1974;6(1-2):87-90. doi:10.1007/BF01911490
15. Acree WE Jr. Thermodynamic properties of organic compounds: enthalpy of fusion and melting point temperature compilation. *Thermochim Acta*. 1991;189(1):37-56. doi:10.1016/0040-6031(91)8709-8-H
16. Wang TC, Hu WH. Solid–liquid equilibria of nine binary systems with dicarboxylic acids. *J Chem Eng Data*. 2017;62(3):931–7. doi:10.1021/acs.jced.6b00628
17. Li Y, Wang Y, Ning Z, Cui J, Wu Q, Wang X. Solubilities of adipic acid and succinic acid in a glutaric acid + acetone or n-butanol mixture. *J Chem Eng Data*. 2014;59(12):4062–9. doi:10.1021/je500682v
18. Ng WK, Kwek JW, Yuen A, Tan CL, Tan R. Effect of milling on DSC thermogram of excipient adipic acid. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. 2010;11(1):159–67. doi:10.1208/s12249-009-9372-5
19. Domalski ES, Hearing ED. Heat capacities and entropies of organic compounds in the condensed phase. Volume III. *J Phys Chem Ref Data*. 1996;25(1):1-525. doi:10.1063/1.555985
20. Allan JR, Geddes WC, Hindle CS, Orr AE. Thermal analysis studies on pyridine carboxylic acid complexes of zinc(II). *Thermochim Acta*. 1989;153:249–56. doi:10.1016/0040-6031(89)85438-3
21. Chadha K, Karan M, Bhalla Y, Chadha R, Khullar S, Mandal S, et al. Cocrystals of hesperetin: structural, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic evaluation. *Crys Growth Des*. 2017;17(5):2386–405. doi:10.1021/acs.cgd.6b01769
22. Guo K, Sadiq G, Seaton C, Davey R, Yin Q. Co-crystallization in the caffeine/maleic acid system: lessons from phase equilibria. *Crys Growth Des*. 2010;10(1):268–73. doi:10.1021/cg900885n
23. Hansen AR, Beyer KD. Experimentally determined thermochemical properties of the malonic acid/water system: implications for atmospheric aerosols. *J Phys Chem A*. 2004;108(16):3457–66. doi:10.1021/jp0376166
24. Fang J, Zhang M, Zhu P, Ouyang J, Gong J, Chen W, et al. Solubility and solution thermodynamics of sorbic acid in eight pure organic solvents. *J Chem Thermodyn*. 2015;85:202–9. doi:10.1016/j.jct.2015.02.004
25. Almeida AR, Oliveira JA, Monte MJ. Thermodynamic study of nicotinamide, N-methylnicotinamide and N,N-dimethylnicotinamide: Vapour pressures, phase diagrams, and hydrogen bonds. *J Chem Thermodyn*. 2015;82:108–15. doi:10.1016/j.jct.2014.11.001
26. Sun X, Yin Q, Ding S, Shen Z, Bao Y, Gong J, et al. (Solid + liquid) phase diagram for (indomethacin + nicotinamide)-methanol or methanol/ethyl acetate mixture and solubility behavior of 1:1 (indomethacin + nicotinamide) co-crystal at T=(298.15 and 313.15) K. *J Chem Thermodyn*. 2015;85:171–7. doi:10.1016/j.jct.2015.01.015
27. Wu H, Dang L, Wei H. Solid-liquid phase equilibrium of nicotinamide in different pure solvents: Measurements and thermodynamic modeling. *Ind Eng Chem Res*. 2014;53(4):1707–11. doi:10.1021/ie403628d
28. Shekhar H, Kant V. Thermodynamic and interfacial studies of pharmaceutical active nicotinamide-vanillin drug system. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci*. 2012;4:602–9.
29. Castro RA, Ribeiro JD, Maria TM, Ramos Silva M, Yuste-Vivas C, Canotilho J, et al. Naproxen cocrystals with pyridinecarboxamide isomers. *Crys Growth Des*. 2011;11(12):5396–404. doi:10.1021/cg2009946
30. Li J, Bourne SA, Caira MR. New polymorphs of isonicotinamide and nicotinamide. *Chem Comm*. 2011;47(5):1530–2. doi:10.1039/c0cc04117c
31. Good DJ, Rodriguez-Hornedo N. Solubility advantage of pharmaceutical cocrystals. *Cryst Growth Des*. 2009;9(5):2252–64. doi:10.1021/cg801039j
32. Nicoli S, Bilzi S, Santi P, Caira MR, Li J, Bettini R. Ethyl-paraben and nicotinamide mixtures: apparent solubility, thermal behavior and X-ray structure of the 1:1 co-crystal. *J Pharm Sci*. 2008;97(11):4830–9. doi:10.1002/jps.21341
33. Negoro H, Miki T, Ueda S, Sanada T, Okada R. Solubility phenomena of pyridine- and pyrazinemonomocarboxamides. II. Heats of fusion of picolinamide, nicotinamide, isonicotinamide, and pyrazinemocarboxamide. *Yakugaku Zasshi*. 1960;80(5):670–3. [Japanese] doi:10.1248/yakushi1947.80.5\_670
34. Zhang SW, Brunskill AP, Schwartz E, Sun Shuwen. Celecoxib-nicotinamide cocrystal revisited: Can entropy control cocrystal formation? *Crys Growth Des*. 2017;17(5):2836–43. doi:10.1021/acs.cgd.7b00308

- 
35. Zhabina AA, Nagrimanov RN, Emel'yanenko VN, Solomonov BN, Verevkin SP. Nicotinamides: Evaluation of thermochemical experimental properties. *J Chem Thermodyn.* 2016;103:69-75. doi:10.1016/j.jct.2016.08.002
36. Basu P, Alexander KS, Riga AT. A statistical model for the optimization of DSC performance in the evaluation of drugs for preformulation studies. *J Therm Anal Calorim.* 2006;83(1):19-22. doi:10.1007/s10973-004-6860-4
37. Hino T, Ford JL, Powell MW. Assessment of nicotinamide polymorphs by differential scanning calorimetry. *Thermochim Acta.* 2001;374(1):85-92. doi:10.1016/S0040-6031(01)00491-9
38. Volkova TV, Blokhina SV, Ryzhakov AM, Sharapova AV, Ol'khovich MV, Perlovich GL. Vapor pressure and sublimation thermodynamics of aminobenzoic acid, nicotinic acid, and related amido-derivatives. *J Therm Anal Calorim.* 2016;123(1):841-9. doi:10.1007/s10973-015-4969-2
39. Li B, Wu Y, Zhu J, Chen K, Wu B, Ji L. Determination and correlation of solubility and mixing properties of isonicotinamide (form II) in some pure solvents. *Thermochim Acta.* 2016;627-629:55-60. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2016.01.012