







Evaluation of Solvent Effect (Methanol: Water Mixture) on the Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activities of *Stachys turcomanica* Trauty

Khashayar Namvar¹, Ameneh Mohammadi², Esmail Ataei Salehi², Peyman Feyzi^{2*}

¹Department of Food Science and Technology, Islamic Azad University, Quchan Branch, Iran. ²Natural Products & Medicinal Plants Research Center, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran.

Article Info

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received: 21 December 2016 Accepted: 11 June 2017 ePublished: 30 September 2017

Keywords:

-S. Turcomanica -Total phenolic content -Antioxidant activity -Dpph -Frap -Beta-carotene linoleic acid bleaching **Background:** The genus of *Stachys* with 300 species belongs to Lamiaceae family and this genus has 34 species in Iran. *Stachys turcomanica* Trautv is native to Iran and it used for treatment of infectious, rheumatic and inflammatory diseases in traditional medicine. The effect of solvent (methanol in water: 0, 20, 50, 80 and 100 %) on the extraction of antioxidant compounds from *S. turcomanica* were investigated using various *in vitro* assays.

Methods: The antioxidant activities of extracts were studied via three methods: FRAP (ferric reducing antioxidant power), DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) and beta-carotene linoleic acid bleaching.

Results: The results of present work revealed that the solvent combinations have effects on the extraction of phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties. The relative methanol/water ratio of 80:20 v/v was effective solvent in the extracting of phenolic compounds. Also, there was a good correlation between antioxidant activity and total phenolic content *S. turcomanica* extracts. *Conclusion:* The results demonstrated methanol/water (80:20) ratio was the best solvent to release of many secondary metabolites from *S. turcomanica* for future studies, which could provide natural sources of antioxidant compounds.

Introduction

The genus of *Stachys* with 300 species belongs to Lamiaceae family and it was found in Iran and Mediterranean area.¹ In Iran, this genus has 34 species.² The plants in this genus have anticancer, antipyretic, antitoxic, anti-anxiety, antiproliferative, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial and antioxidant activities.³⁻⁹ Some species of this genus are used as disinfectant, antispasmodic and anti-fever agents.¹⁰ Phytochemical analysis demonstrated presence of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes,^{11,12} flavonoids¹³ and phenylethanoid glycosides in this genus.^{14,15} In Iran, the aerial parts of *Stachys* genus are used for treatment of infectious, rheumatic and inflammatory disease.¹⁶ One of the Iranian species is *Stachys turcomanica*.^{2,17}

Medicinal plants are known for their antioxidant compounds and they are less toxic than synthetic antioxidants.^{18,19} Some conditions such as temperature, type of solvent, concentration and extraction time have effects on the extraction of phenolic compounds.²⁰ Selection of solvent is important, because type of solvent and extracted compounds determine the antioxidant property of one extract.²¹ Antioxidant activity in plants has been associated with phenolic compounds such as carotenoids, vitamins, phenols and flavonoids.^{22,23} The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of solvents on the extraction of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of *S. turcomanica* by *in vitro* methods.

Material and Methods Plant material

The aerial parts of *S. turcomanica* were collected in Jun 2016 from the North Khorasan Province Mountains of Iran. The plant was identified by Natural Products and Medicinal Plants Research Centre of North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences (Iran). Voucher number was MP264/N.Khorasan.

Preparation of plant extracts

About 150 g of plant was macerated in methanolwater (20, 50, 100 v/v) at room temperature for 48 h separately and then the solvent was removed under vacuum at 40 °C to give the crude extract.²⁴

*Corresponding Author: Peyman Feyzi , E-mail: Feyzi.peyman@yahoo.com

^{©2017} The Authors. This is an open access article and applies the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.

Total phenolic determination

For measurement of total phenolic content, $100 \ \mu L$ of extract was added to 2.8 mL of distilled water, 2 mL of sodium carbonate (2%) and 100 μL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (50%) and then tubes were incubated for 30 min. After that, their absorbances were read at 720 nm compared to the control. For drawing the standard curve, Gallic acid was used as the standard. The total phenolic contents of the extracts were reported as milligrams of gallic acid per grams of dried weight of extract (mg GAE/g DW).²⁵

Antioxidant activity assay DPPH assay (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl)

In this test, antioxidant activity was described by free radical scavenging capacity of the extract based on one-electron reduction.²⁶ In this method, DPPH solution (0.004% w/v, 0.1 mM) was prepared in methanol, 0.1 mL of sample solution was added to 3.9 mL of DPPH solution and then incubated at room temperature for 30 min, the absorbance was read at 517 nm and the experiment was carried out in triplicate.²⁷ Methanol was used as blank, ascorbic acid and BHT as positive controls. The percentage of radical scavenging activity was calculated from Equation (1):

Percentage of inhibition =
$$\frac{A_{blank} - A_{sample}}{A_{blank}} \times 100$$

Eq. (1)

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP assay)

100 µL of each extract was added to FRAP reagent (3 mL: sodium acetate buffer 300 mM ;pH 3.6, tripyridyl triazine (10 mM) and ferric chloride (20 mM)). The mixture was vortexed and incubated at 30°C for 4 minutes. The control was distilled water (100 μ L) with FRAP reagent (3 mL). Absorbances of the solutions were read at 593 nm against control. Aqueous solutions of FeSO₄.7H₂O (0-1 mM) were used for calibration curve. The calibration curve was plotted with absorbance and concentration, and then total antioxidant activity was expressed as mmol Fe (II)/ g extract (mean \pm standard error).²⁸ In this method, the electron donating of antioxidant compounds at low pH cause to reduction of ferric to ferrous and they can convert colorless ferric tripyridyl triazine complex to blue ferrous tripyridyl triazine which has absorbance at 593 nm.

β-carotene Bleaching Assay (BCBA)

Antioxidant activity of *Stachys turcomanica* for inhibition of linoleic acid oxidation was studied by β -carotene linoleic acid method.²⁹ In this method, 200 mg of tween 20, linoleic acid (20 µL) and β -carotene (1 mg) were added to 5 mL of chloroform. After that the mixture was put at 40° C until the chloroform to evaporate. Then, 50 mL of distilled water was added to the mixture and it was shacked for 30 min. 50 µL of each extract was added to 6 mL

of this mixture. In the control tube, the mixture was added to 50 μ L of methanol. After that, the absorbance was measured at 470 nm (A₀), and the tubes were placed in a water bath at 50 °C for 2 h to catalyze the oxidation reaction and discoloring of βcarotene. The absorbance of sample was measured at 470 nm (A₁₂₀) to calculate the decreased absorbance in each sample. BHT was applied as positive control. All of the analyses were performed in triplicate. The antioxidant activity index (AAI) was carried out using Equation (2):

AAI% =
$$1 - \frac{(A0 - A \ 120)\text{sample}}{(A0 - A \ 120)\text{control}} \times 100$$
 Eq. (2)

Results and Discussion

Selection of solvent is the important step in optimizing the recovery of antioxidant compound from a sample. In this study, the effect of solvent type in total phenolic and antioxidant activity was evaluated. The yields of extraction were shown in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the highest yield extraction was for methanol 80% with 27.33% yield and water extract had lowest yield of extraction. In other studies, effects of solvents in extraction of different polyphenols have been reported ³⁰ and they showed efficiency of water and methanol as extraction solvents.

In this study, total phenolic compounds of extracts were evaluated and the results were presented in Table 1. The Folin-Ciocalteu method is a widespread assay for quantitative determination of phenolic content. In this method, the oxidation of phenolic compounds in alkaline solution was done.³¹ The phenolic compounds donate electrons and delocalize the unpaired electron with their aromatic structures.³² In this study, the 80% methanolic content than other extracts. Thus, this solvent is considered as a better and more efficient solvent for extraction of phenolic compounds.

Table 1. Extraction yield and total phenolic content of vari-
ous extracts from S. turcomanca.

Extracts	Extractio n yield (%)	Total phenolic contents [*] (mg GAE/kg DW)
Methanol 100%	21.24	3029.46±1.1
Methanol 80%	27.33	4197.8±3.5
Methanol 50%	21.5	3732.6±2.5
Methanol 20%	20.0	2380.5±1.5
Water	10.37	1299.0±1.7

^{*}Results correspond to the average ± standard deviation estimated from three aliquots of extracts.

The use of natural antioxidants have few side effects because of their low toxicity compared to other drugs.³³ Several methods have been used for evaluation of antioxidant activity and each of them has some problems and limitations.^{33,34} In this study, antioxidant properties of different extracts were

evaluated with three methods FRAP, DPPH and $\beta\text{-}$ carotene bleaching.

The DPPH method is commonly method because it is simple, efficient and inexpensive. In this method, DPPH that is a free radical has a maximum absorption at 517 nm and DPPH scavenging activity is based on the ability of sample to donate hydrogen which reacts with the DPPH radical and a reduction in absorbance is happened.35,36 The DPPH scavenging activity of extracts is dependent on concentration. In this test, the results are reported as IC_{50} , which is defined as the amount of antioxidant can inhibit 50% of DPPH free radicals. A lower value of IC_{50} indicates a higher antioxidant activity.³⁷ IC₅₀ values of extracts and positive controls were shown in Table 2. In the present study all of extracts had lower antioxidant activities as compared to the standard BHT. Also, the strongest DPPH activity was obtained by 80% methanolic extract and lowest activity was obtained in 20% methanolic extract. In the FRAP assay, the ferric reducing ability was measured and the antioxidant activities were expressed by the reduction of ferric tripyridyltriazine complex to form a blue color ferrous tripyridyltriazine complex.38 In the present study, the FRAP value expressed in Table 2. The results showed methanol 80% extract revealed the highest ferric reducing potential.

Table 2 show the antioxidant capacity of extracts using the beta-carotene/linoleic acid. The results showed that there are different amounts of antioxidants in various extracts and methanol 80% with 35.85% inhibition had highest antioxidant activity in this method. In this study, with increasing water content in the solvent, yield, antioxidant activities and total phenolic content were decreased. The total phenolic contents and antioxidant activities were decreased with increasing water solvent in extracting, because the water extract contain nonphenolic compounds or possess phenolic compounds that contain a smaller number of active groups than the other solvents.

Also in this study, the correlation coefficients between the antioxidant activity and total phenolic content were evaluated. Significant positive relationships were also obtained between total phenolic content and the antioxidant activities that evaluated by FRAP, DPPH, beta-caroten linoleic acid assays (R^2 = 0.768, 0.692 and 0.550 respectively).

The results showed stronger correlation between antioxidant activities and total phenolic content; thus in this study, strong contributions of phenolic compounds to the antioxidant properties of *S. turcomanica* were shown.³⁹ Also these results showed, the β -caroten linoleic acid method was the least correlated with total phenolic content. This may be due to the electron transfer reaction mechanism in total phenolic content assay, FRAP and DPPH methods. In other studies this correlation was shown.⁴⁰

Other studies were done on the *S. turcomanica*, such as in Firouznia research, the results showed the oil of *S. turcomanica* consists of thirteen monoterpene hydrocarbons (34.4 %), eleven sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (52.6 %) and four oxygenated sesquiterpenes (6.0 %). ⁴¹

In khanavie et al work, the results showed ethyl acetate and chloroform fractions of *S. turcomanica* represented moderate cytotoxic activity against HT-29 cell line (IC₅₀ < 70 µg/mL).⁴² Khanavi et al have investigated antioxidant activity of some *Stachys* species from Golestan park of Iran, and their results demonstrated that the most of the strong antioxidants and phenolic compounds were soluble in methanol in *Stachys* species.⁴³

Conclusion

Our results indicated that solvent combinations have effects on the extraction of phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacities. In addition, there were good correlations between antioxidant capacity and total phenolic contents of the *S. turcomanica* extracts. We introduce the methanol:water (80:20) extract was good solvent in extracting of phenolic compounds with highest antioxidant activity. These results demonstrated this extract was the best solvent to release of most secondary metabolites from *S. turcomanica* for future studies, which could provide natural sources of antioxidant compounds.

Table 2. Antioxidant activity of various extracts of <i>S. turcomanica</i> using the DPPH, FRAP and β-carotene/linoleate model
systems.

-,				
Extracts	IC ₅₀ (mg/ml) in DPPH assay	FRAP value (mmolFe ²⁺ /g dry extract)	β-Carotene–linoleate (% inhibition)	
Methanol 100%	2.58±0.41	1240±0.28	28.59± 0.51	
Methanol 80%	1.71±0.58	1330±0.36	35.85 ± 0.19	
Methanol 50%	1.87±0.21	1260±0.51	33.9 ± 2.85	
Methanol 20%	9.93±0.64	680±0.49	11.18 ± 0.15	
water	6.98±0.37	960±0.34	29.6 ± 0.46	
BHT	0.125±0.17	14.3±0.58	93.25 ± 0.09	
BHT	0.125±0.17	14.3±0.58	$93.25{\pm}~0.09$	

Conflict of interests

The authors claim that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Tundis R, Peruzzi L, Menichini F. Phytochemical and biological studies of *Stachys* species in relation to chemotaxonomy: a review. Phytochemistry. 2014;102:7-39. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.01.023
- 2. Mozaffarian V. A Dictionary of Iranian Plant Names. Tehran: Farhang Moaser; 1996. p. 522.
- 3. Ghahraman A. Color Atlas of Iranian Flora. Tehran: Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands Publishing; 1998. vol 18. p. 738.
- 4. Hajhashemi V, Ghannadi A, Sedighifar S. Analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties of the hydroalcoholic, polyphenolic and boiled extracts of *Stachys lavandulifolia*. Res Pharm Sci. 2007;1(2):92-8.
- Semnani KM, Saeedi M, Shahani S. Antioxidant activity of the methanolic extracts of some species of *Phlomis* and *Stachys* on sunflower oil. Afr J Biotechnol. 2006;5(24):2428-32. doi:10.5897/AJB2006.000-5101
- 6. Rabbani M, Sajjadi SE, Jalali A. Hydroalcohol extract and fractions of *Stachys lavandulifolia Vahl*: effects on spontaneous motor activity and elevated plus-maze behavior. Phytother Res. 2005;19(10):854-8. doi:10.1002/ptr.1701
- Rabbani M, Sajjadi SE, Zarei HR. Anxiolytic effects of *Stachys lavandulifolia* Vahl on the elevated plus-maze model of anxiety in mice, J Ethnopharmacol. 2003;89(2-3):271-6. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2003.09.008
- Erdemoglu N, Turan NN, Cakici I, Sener B, Aydin A. Antioxidant activities of some Lamiaceae plant extracts. Phytother Res. 2006;20(1):9-13. doi:10.1002/ptr.1816
- Digrak M, Hakki Alma M, Ilcim A. Antibacterial and antifungal activities of Turkish medicinal plants. Pharm Biol. 2001;39(5):346-50. doi10.1076/phbi.39.5.346.5903
- Gruenwald J, Brendler T, Jaenicke C. PDR for herbal medicine. 2nd ed. Montvale, New Jersey: Medicine economic Company; 2000. p. 1081.
- 11. Aghaei Y, Mirjalili HM, Nazeri V. Chemical diversity among the essential oils of wild populations of *Stachys lavandulifolia* VAHL (Lamiaceae) from Iran. Chem Biodivers. 2013;10(2):262-73.
 - doi:10.1002/cbdv.201200194
- 12. Delazar A, Delnavazi MR, Nahar L, Moghadam SB, Mojarab M, Gupta A, et al. Lavandulifolioside B: a new phenylethanoid glycoside from the aerial parts of *Stachys lavandulifolia* Vahl. Nat Prod Res. 2011;25(1):8-16. doi:10.1080/14786411003754330
- 13. El-Ansari MA, Nawwar MA, Saleh N. Stachysetin, a diapigenine-7-glucoside-p-p-

dihydroxy-truxinate from *Stachys Aegyptiaca*. Phytochemistry. 1995;40(5):1543-8. doi:10.1016/0031-9422(95)00395-n

- 14. Miyase T, Yamamoto R, Ueno A. Phenylethanoid glycosides from *Stachys officinalis*. Phytochemistry. 1996;43(2):475-9. doi:10.1016/0031-9422(96)00322-6
- 15. Nishimura H, Sasaki H, Inagaki N, Masao C, Chen Z, Mitsuhashi H. Nine phenethyl alcohol glycosides from *Stachys seiboldii*. Phytochemistry. 1991;30(3):965-9. doi:10.1016/0031-9422(91)85288-b
- 16. Maleki N, Garjani A, Nazemiyah H, Nilfouroushan N, Eftekhar Sadat AT, Allameh Z, et al. Potent anti-inflammatory activities of hydroalcoholic extract from aerial parts of *Stachys inflata* on rats. J Ethnopharmacol. 2001;75(2-3):213-8. doi:10.1016/s0378-8741(01)00194-5
- 17. Rechinger KH, Hedge IC. Flora Iranica. Graz, Austria: Akademisch Druck-und Verlagsanstalt; 1982. 150. p. 360-1.
- Burda S, Oleszek W. Antioxidant and antiradical activities of flavonoids. J Agric Food Chem. 2001;49(6):2774-9. doi:10.1021/jf001413m
- 19. Hollman PC, Hertog MG, Katan MB. Analysis and health effects of flavonoids. Food Chem. 1996;57(1):43-6. doi:10.1016/0308-8146(96)00065-9
- 20. Liyana-Pathirana C, Shahidi F. Optimization of extraction of phenolic compounds from wheat using response surface methodology. Food Chem. 2005;93(1):47-56. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.08.050
- 21. Nobre CP, Raffin FN, Moura TF. Standardization of extracts from *Momordica charantia* L. (Cucurbitaceae) by total flavonoids content determination. Acta Farm Bonaerense. 2005;24(4):526-66.
- 22. Thabrew MI, Hughes RD, McFarlane IG. Antioxidant activity of Osbeckia aspera. Phytother Res. 1998;12(4):288-90. doi:10.1002/(sici)10991573(199806)12:4<288:: aid-ptr228>3.0.co;2-6
- 23. Velioglu YS, Mazza G, Gao L, Oomah BD. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in selected fruits, vegetables, and grain products. J Agric Food Chem. 1998;46(10):4113-7. doi:10.1021/jf9801973
- 24. Prachayasittikul S, Buraparuangsang P, Worachartcheewan A, Isarankura-Na-Ayudhya C, Ruchirawat S, Prachayasittikul V. Antimicrobial and antioxidative activities of bioactive constituents from *Hydnophytum formicarum* Jack. Molecules. 2008;13(4):904-21. doi:10.3390/molecules13040904
- 25. Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Millogo J, Nacoulma OG. Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and pralin contents in

Burkina Fasan Honey, as well as their scavenging activity. Food Chem. 2005;91(3):571-7.

doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.10.006

- 26. Singh M, Sharma V. Enumerating the antioxidant potential and in vitro radical scavenging activity of the ethanolic root extract of *Operculina turpethum*. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science. 2013;2:2850-60.
- 27. Golmakani E, Mohammadi A, Ahmadzadeh Sani T, Kamali H. Phenolic and flavonoid content and antioxidants capacity of pressurized liquid extraction and perculation method from roots of *Scutellaria pinnatifida* A. Hamilt. subsp alpina (Bornm) Rech. f. J Supercrit Fluids. 2014;95:318-24.

doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2014.09.020

- 28. Xu X, Xie H, Wang Y, Wei X. A-type proanthocyanidins from lychee seeds and their antioxidant and antiviral activities. J Agric Food Chem. 2010;58(22):11667-72. doi:10.1021/jf1033202
- 29. Kumazawa S, Taniguchi M, Suzuki Y, Shimura M, Kwon MS, Nakayama T. Antioxidant activity of polyphenols in carob pods. J Agric Food Chem. 2002;50(2):373-7. doi:10.1021/jf010938r
- 30. Wang Z, Hsu C, Yin M. Antioxidative characteristics of aqueous and ethanol extracts of glossy privet fruit. Food Chem. 2009;112(4):914-8. doi:10.1016/i foodchem.2008.06.078

doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.06.078

- 31. Tawaha K, Alali F, Gharaibeh M, Mohammad M, Elelimat T. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of selected Jordanian plant species. Food Chem. 2007;104(4):1372-8. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.01.064
- 32. Ross JA, Kasum CM. Dietary flavonoids: bioavailability, metabolic effects, and safety. Annu Rev Nutr. 2002;22(1):19-34. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.22.111401.144957
- 33. Decker EA, Warner K, Richards MP, Shahidi F. Measuring antioxidant effectiveness in food. J Agric Food Chem. 2005;53(10):4303-10. doi:10.1021/jf058012x
- 34. Magalhães LM, Segundo MA, Reis S, Lima JL. Methodological aspects about in vitro evaluation of antioxidant properties. Anal Chim Acta. 2008;613(1):1-19. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2008.02.047

35. Knezevic SV, Blazekovic B, Stefan MB, Alegro A, Koszegi T, Petrik J. Antioxidant activities and polyphenolic contents of three selected Micromeria species from Croatia. Molecules. 2011;16(12):1454-70.

doi:10.3390/molecules16021454

- 36. Michalak A. Phenolic compounds and their antioxidant activity in plants growing under heavy metal stress. Pol J Environ Stud. 2006;15(4):523-30.
- 37. Hatamnia AA, Abbaspour N, Darvishzadeh R. Antioxidant activity and phenolic profile of different parts of Bene (*Pistacia atlantica* subsp. kurdica) fruits. Food Chem. 2014;145: 306-11. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.08.031
- 38. Ebrahimzadeh MA, Nabavi SM, Nabavi SF, Bahramian F, Bekhradnia AR. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of *H. officinalis*, Var. angustifolius, V. odorata, B. hyrcana and *C. speciosum*. Pak J Pharm Sci. 2010;23(1):29-34.
- 39. Benmeddour Z, Mehinagic E, Meurlay DL, Louaileche H. Phenolic Composition and Antioxidant Capacities of Ten Algerian Date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Cultivars: A Comparative Study. J Funct Foods. 2013;5(1):346-54. doi:10.1016/j.jff.2012.11.005
- 40. Pajak P, Socha R, Gałkowska D, Roznowski J, Fortuna T. Phenolic profile and antioxidant activity in selected seeds and sprouts. Food Chem. 2014;143:300-6. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.064
- 41. Firouznia A, Rustaiyan AH, Masoudi S, Rahimizade M, Bigdeli M, Tabatabaei-Anaraki M. Volatile constituents of *Salvia limbata*, *Stachys turcomanica*, *Scutellaria litwinowii* and Hymenocrater elegans Four Lamiaceae Herbs from Iran. J Essent Oil Bear Pl. 2009;12(4):482-9. doi:10.1080/0972060x.2009.10643748
- 42. Khanavi M, Manayi A, Lotfi M, Abbasi R, Majdzadeh M, Ostad SN. Investigation of Cytotoxic Activity in Four Stachys Species from Iran. Iran J Pharm Res. 2012;11(2):589-93.
- 43. Khanavi M, Hajimahmoodi M, Cheraghi-Niroomand M, Kargar Z, Ajani Y, Hadjiakhoondi A, et al. Comparison of the antioxidant activity and total phenolic contents in some *Stachys* species. Afr J Biotechnol. 2009;8(6):1143-7.